UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,867	01/29/2004	Damon H. DeHart	ABB-126-A	4117	
48980 YOUNG BASII	7590 11/02/201 LE	1	EXAMINER		
	G BEAVER ROAD		NGUYEN, TUAN VAN		
SUITE 624 TROY, MI 48084			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/02/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@youngbasile.com audit@youngbasile.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/767,867	DEHART, DAMON H.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUAN NGUYEN	3731			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>TUAN NGUYEN</u> .	(3)				
(2) FRANCINE NESTI (Reg. No. 53,376).	(4)				
Date of Interview: 26 October 2011.					
Type:	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	⊠ No.				
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Oth (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail					
Claim(s) discussed: 1,3 and 63.					
Identification of prior art discussed: Office action mailed 08	<u>8/04/2011</u> .				
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments.)		dentification or clarific	cation of a		
During a telephone interview with applicants' representative discussed. Examiner indicates that claims 1, 3 and 63 are reindicates that the specification and drawings of the instant a outerwall is itself sufficiently rigid to draw fluid from a patient claims 6-8 into claims 1, 3 and 63 and providing evidence of partially hollowed along its length" (see specification page is stated problem. Examiner indicates that such amendment application in condition for allowance. Note that such amendments	not allowable in the present for application fail to provide support". Examiner indicates that income affidavit to show that "the uniful, first full paragraph) provide, and evidence/affidavit would hid ment and evidence/affidavit v	m. As to claim 65 ort for the limitation of all litary member 13 s an advantage of all litery likely put the will not be entered.	3, Examiner on of "the limitations in could be of solved a e instant d because		
the amendment and evidence/affidavit will be filed after a final rejection, thus, they required further consideration.					
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.					
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.					
☐ Attachment					
/TUAN NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3731					

Application No.

Applicant(s)